TECHNICAL REPORT NATICK/TR-99/009

AD	
Aυ	

DEVELOPMENT OF RADAPPERTIZED PORK ITEMS

by Gary W. Shults Joseph S. Cohen John J. Howker and Eugen Wierbicki

November 1998

Final Report August 1970 19981124 018

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

U.S. ARMY SOLDIER AND BIOLOGICAL CHEMICAL COMMAND SOLDIER SYSTEMS CENTER NATICK, MASSACHUSETTS 01760-5018

DISCLAIMERS

The findings contained in this report are not to

be construed as an official Department of the Army

position unless so designated by other authorized

documents.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such items.

DESTRUCTION NOTICE

For Classified Documents:

Follow the procedures in DoD 5200.22-M, Industrial
Security Manual, Section II-19 or DoD 5200.1-R,
Information Security Program Regulation, Chapter IX.

For Unclassified/Limited Distribution Documents:

Destroy by any method that prevents disclosure of contents or reconstruction of the document.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden. to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 222	2024302, and to the office of Management and	Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (7704-01867, Washington, DC 20303.								
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave bl	ank) 2. REPORTS PATEER 1998	3. REPORT THE AND A	ATES 1946RED								
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		15	FUNDING NUMBERS								
	A DDED TYPED DODAY ATTENDED	13.	C 8AB81A								
DEVELOPMENT OF RADA	DEVELOPMENT OF RADAPPERTIZED PORK ITEMS										
		1	N 3122								
6. AUTHOR(S)											
Gary W. Shults, John J. How	ker, Joseph S. Cohen and Eugen	Wierbicki									
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	R	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION									
U.S. Army Soldier and Biolo	ogical Chemical Command	16.	REPORT NUMBER								
Soldier Systems Center	Signi Gironiver Goriniane		NATICK/TR-99/009								
ATTN: SBCCOM-W			NATION IN-99/009								
Natick, MA 01760-5018											
Nation, WIA 01700-3018											
A CRONCORING (MONITORING A	GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES		. SPONSORING / MONITORING								
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING A	GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	′ ' ' '	AGENCY REPORT NUMBER								
		1									
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES											
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY	Y STATEMENT	12	b. DISTRIBUTION CODE								
Approved for Public Polesco	. Distribution Halimited										
Approved for Public Release	, Distribution Unlimited										
		1									
		*									
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wo	rds)										
This was and danced has also were	4	1									
inis report describes the proc	duction of irradiation sterilized (ra	dappertized) pork rolls and	chops. Extensive sensory and								
preference studies were cond	ucted on the finished product. Th	e optimum balance of quali	ty and prevention of loss of								
			0% sodium chloride (NaC1). The								
	th the use of the additives with a										
products. The increase in the	preference scores was attributed	to improved flavor and text	ure.								
•											
•											
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES								
RADAPPERTIZED MEATS	CTODACE CTADILITY	CTOP A CE TO C									
		STORAGE TIME	16. PRICE CODE								
IRRADIATION	SENSORY ANALYSIS	PORK	10. PRICE CODE								
SHELF STABLE MEALS	RADIATION STERILIZA										
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICAT OF ABSTRACT	ION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT								
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	SAR								

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	vii
Preface	ix
Introduction	1

LIST OF TABLES

		Page No.
1.	Effect of NaCl, TPP and Storage Time on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertozed Pork Chops	2
2.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Chops	2
3.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Chops	3
4.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Chops	3
5.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Rolls	3
6.	Effect of NaCl, TPP and Storage Time on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Rolls	4
7.	Effect of TPP and Pepper on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Rolls	4
8.	Effect of Irradiation Temperature and Dose on the Sensory Characteristic of Radappertized Pork Loins	es 5
9.	Effect of Irradiation Temperature on the Sensory Characteristics of Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Loins	6
10.	Consumer Panel Ratings of Pork Chops	7
11.	Yield Data of Pork Chops and Rolls	7
	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Organic Volatiles of Pork Chops	8
	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Shear Press Values of Pork Chops	8
14.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Chops	. 8
15.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Rolls	9
16.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Pork Rolls	9
17	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Rolls	10
18.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Shear Values of Pork Rolls	10
19.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Organic Volatiles of Pork Rolls	10
20.	Effect of NaCl on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Rolls	11
21.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Rolls	11
22.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Rolls	12
23.	Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated	12

24.	Effect of NaCl Pork Rolls	and TPP	on the C	onsumer Evaluation of Non-Irradiated 1	13
25.	Effect of NaCl. Pork Rolls	TPP and	Storage 7	Time on the Sensory Characteristics of 1	14
	Effect of NaCl Pork Chops	and TPP	on the S	ensory Characteristics of Radappertized 1	1 5
	Effect of NaCl Pork Chops	and TPP	on the S	ensory Characteristics of Radappertized 1	16
	Effect of NaCl, Pork Products	TPP and	Storage 7	Time on the Sensory Characteristics of 1	17
	Effect of NaCl, Pork Products	TPP and	Storage 7	Time on the Sensory Characteristics of 1	18

PREFACE

The data for this report were collected by investigators from the US Army, Natick Research and Development Command during the 1970s.

It is being published now as the Army has expressed a need for irradiation sterilized meat products. The data is relevant.

Shelf stable pork items of uniform density and geometric configuration, preserved by radappertization (radiation sterilization) have been developed.

Pieces of defatted raw pork were mixed with 0.3 or 0.5% Sodium Tripolyphosphate (Na-TPP) and 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 or 1.0% NaCl, stuffed into fibrous casings and enzyme inactivated in a smoke house to an internal temperature of 70 to 75 $^{\circ}$ C. After cooling, the pork rolls were cut to the desired length, resulting in uniform pieces (which permitted the optimum use of the space in a can), vacuum canned, frozen to -40 $^{\circ}$ C and irradiated with a sterilizing dose of 51 to 66 kGy while frozen at -30 \pm 10 $^{\circ}$ C.

After irradiation the product was defrosted and kept at 21 to 30 °C prior to evaluation by an expert, technical panel for color, odor, flavor, texture and by a consumer panel for preference. An optimum balance of quality and prevention of loss of natural juices during enzyme inactivation was obtained by using 0.3% Na-TPP and 0.75 to 1.0% sodium chloride (NaCl). The cooking loss was reduced from 29% without additives to 16% with additives. There was a corresponding increase in preference scores for the irradiated item from a range of 4.3 - 6.3 to 5.2 - 7.2 on the 9 point hedonic scale. The increase in the preference scores is attributed to improved flavor and texture (sensory and Kramer shear press data).

High quality, shelf-stable radappertized pork chops were prepared by Injecto-pumping of pork loins to a yield of 0.3% Na-TPP and 0.75% NaCl. This was followed by oven broiling at 232 °C of 1.2 cm loin slices (pork chops) to an internal temperature of 70 to 75 °C. The cooked pork chops were vacuum packaged and then radappetized at -30 °C to a dose of 51 to 66 kGy. This produced excellent, shelf-stable radappertized pork chops. The chops received preference scores in the range of 6 to 7 from the expert and consumer-type panels. Storage stability studies of the products were initiated.

Irradiation was done with the Co⁶⁰ source of gamma particles.

The scale used ranged from 1-dislike extremely to 9-like extremely with 5-neither like nor dislike.

DEVELOPMENT OF RADAPPERTIZED PORK ITEMS

Introduction

Investigations on cured meat products have been directed toward reducing the amounts of sodium nitrate and sodium nitrite required for the production of these products. These efforts have been motivated by the acknowledgement that nitrosamine formation in cured meats is directly associated with the addition of curing salts. Research was conducted on the development of cured meats with the minimal amount of curing salts to determine which additive level produces a product with the characteristic color and microbiological safety. The US Department of Agriculture's (USDA) 1973 regulations eliminated the use of sodium nitrate (NaNO3) as a curing ingredients in meats except for dry cured ham and fermented sausage. The approved level of sodium nitrite (NaNO2) addition was also substantially lowered.

Weirbicki and Heiligman (1973) reported extensive investigations on the reduction of NaNO3 and NaNO2 in irradiated ham. They reported that the additive level of NaNO2 could be reduced to 25 ppm when used in combination with 100 ppm of NaNO3. Without the 100 ppm of NaNO3, fading of the cured meat was detected and Wasserman (1978) reported that no nitrosamines preference scores were lowered. were found in irradiated cured meats when lower levels of NaNO2 and NaNO3 were Wierbicki et al. (1974) reported that the level of NaNO2 addition could be reduced from 156 to 75 ppm in nonirradiated cured meats without affecting the quality. Wierbicki et al. (1976) reported that acceptable irradiated corned beef can be produced with an addition of 156 ppm NaNO2 without NaNO3. Shults et al. (1977) also showed that an addition level of 150 ppm NaNO2 was sufficient to produce acceptable irradiated corned beef. An addition level of 25 ppm NaNO2 also produced an acceptable product, but with decreased color intensity. Cohen et al. (1978) reported that an acceptable irradiated corned beef could be produced with the addition of 75 ppm NaNO2 without the NaNO3 addition.

This study was initiated to investigate the effects of low level additions of NaNO2 and NaNO3 on the color, acceptance and nitrosamine formation in irradiated and nonirradiated corned beef.

Materials and Methods

The raw material used for these studies was fresh beef brisket, pectoralis profundis muscle, excised from USDA choice grade carcasses. The briskets were trimmed of all surface fat and injecto-pumped with a Griffith #8 Big Boy™ meat pump (The Griffith Laboratories, Inc., Chicago, IL) operating at 90 Pa of pressure. The briskets were pumped to 15% added weight with the curing solutions. Each cure contained 3.0% sodium chloride, 275 ppm sodium ascorbate and 275 ppm sodium erythorbate. NaNO2 levels evaluated were 150, 75, 3.5, 25 and 0 ppm. NaNO3 levels were 50, 37.5, 25 and 0 ppm. Various combinations were used. The briskets were held for 72 hours at +2 ° C after pumping, prior to cooking.

The cured briskets were cooked in a water kettle at $97 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C until an internal temperature of $80 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C was attained and then simmered at 75° C for one hour. After cooking the briskets were cooled at 2 to 5 ° C.

Table 1 - Effect of NaCl, TPP and Storage Time on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Chops

		_ Colo	r	O	Odor Flavor			Te	xture	Preference		
<u>TPP</u>	Dose				Ι	Days Stor	age					
%	kGy	Q	<u>30</u>	0	<u>30</u>	Q	30	0	<u>30</u>	0	<u>30</u>	
0.00	51	5.7**	6.7	4.9	7.1	4.8	5.7**	5.4**	5.5**	5.1	5.8**	
0.00	51	6.1	6.7	5,9	6.6	5.4	6.1	6.4	6.3	5.6**	6.2	
0.25	51	5.6**	6.9	6.4*	7.0	5.4	5.8**	5.8	6.5	6.3*	6.1	
0.50	51	6.6	7.3	6.4*	6.9	5.5	6.7	6.1	6.5	6.3*	6.5	
0.25	NA	6.9	7.5	6.4*	7.7	5.8***	7.6	6.1*	7.3	7.1	7.3	
	0.00 0.00 0.25 0.50	% kGy 0.00 51 0.00 51 0.25 51 0.50 51	TPP Dose kGy	% kGy 0 30 0.00 51 5.7** 6.7 0.00 51 6.1 6.7 0.25 51 5.6** 6.9 0.50 51 6.6 7.3	TPP	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TPP Dose Color Odor Days Store % kGy 0 30 0 30 0 0.00 51 5.7** 6.7 4.9 7.1 4.8 0.00 51 6.1 6.7 5,9 6.6 5.4 0.25 51 5.6** 6.9 6.4* 7.0 5.4 0.50 51 6.6 7.3 6.4* 6.9 5.5	TPP Dose Days Storage % kGy 0 30 0 30 0 30 0.00 51 5.7** 6.7 4.9 7.1 4.8 5.7** 0.00 51 6.1 6.7 5,9 6.6 5.4 6.1 0.25 51 5.6** 6.9 6.4* 7.0 5.4 5.8** 0.50 51 6.6 7.3 6.4* 6.9 5.5 6.7	TPP Dose Color Odor Days Storage Flavor Days Storage Temporary % kGy 0 30 0 30 0 30 0 0.00 51 5.7** 6.7 4.9 7.1 4.8 5.7** 5.4** 0.00 51 6.1 6.7 5,9 6.6 5.4 6.1 6.4 0.25 51 5.6** 6.9 6.4* 7.0 5.4 5.8** 5.8 0.50 51 6.6 7.3 6.4* 6.9 5.5 6.7 6.1	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TPP Dose % Color Days Storage Flavor Days Storage Texture Prefer % kGy 0 30 0 30 0 30 0 30 0	

- * Significantly different from the sample with no additives
- ** Significantly different from the non-irradiated sample
- *** Significantly different from the other samples.

 Significance determined for 0.05 level
- 10 Panelists per test, 9-point scale
- The non-irradiated sample was preferred over all the irradiated. The scores also increased with storage. The irradiated sample with the greatest NaCl and TPP was preferred over the others.

<u>Table 2 - Effect of NaCl, TPP and Storage Time on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Chops</u>

		Days Storage											
		0	<u>30</u>	0	<u>30</u>	0	<u>30</u>	<u>o</u>	30	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>		
<u>NaCl</u>	<u>TPP</u>			Sens	sory Cha	racter	istic						
%	_%	Cole	<u>or</u>	Odo	ŗ	Flav	/or	<u>Text</u>	ure	<u>Prefe</u>	rence		
0.00	0.00	6.1	7.4	5.2	6.4**	4.4	6.2	6.0	6.5	5.0	6.4		
0.75	0.00	6.3	6.6	5.3	7.2	4.5	6.4	6.1	6.0	5.1	6.2		
0.75	0.30	6.5	7,5	6.9*	7.2	6.0*	6.8	6.6	7.3	6.5*	6.8		
0.75	0.50	6.2	7.2	6.0	7.2	6.1*	6.8	6.2	6.9	6.2*	6.7		

^{*} Significantly different from the samples with no additives and 0.75% NaCl 0.05 level

Irradiation Conditions: 51 kGy at -25 \pm 20°C

• The samples with TPP were preferred. There was no preference for the amount of TPP. The scores increased with storage time.

^{**} Significantly different from the other samples at 0.05 level

¹⁰ panelists per test, 9-point scale

<u>Table 3 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Chops</u>

TPP	Dose	Sensory (Sensory Characteristics							
%	<u>kGy</u>	Color	<u>Odor</u>	<u>Flavor</u>	<u>Texture</u>	<u>Preference</u>				
0.30	51	7.5	6.7	5.9	6.1	6.4				
0.00	51	6.9	6.6	5.6	6.2	6.2				
0.30	NA	7.0	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.1				
0.00	NA	7.5	6.9	6.9	7.1	7.0				
	0.30 0.00 0.30	% kGy 0.30 51 0.00 51 0.30 NA	% kGy Color 0.30 51 7.5 0.00 51 6.9 0.30 NA 7.0	% kGy Color Odor 0.30 51 7.5 6.7 0.00 51 6.9 6.6 0.30 NA 7.0 6.2	% kGy Color Odor Flavor 0.30 51 7.5 6.7 5.9 0.00 51 6.9 6.6 5.6 0.30 NA 7.0 6.2 6.2	% kGy Color Odor Flavor Texture 0.30 51 7.5 6.7 5.9 6.1 0.00 51 6.9 6.6 5.6 6.2 0.30 NA 7.0 6.2 6.2 6.2				

12 panelists per test. 9-point scale Irradiation Conditions:30 ± 10 °C

• The additives had no efffect. The non-irradiated sample was preferred over all the irradiated samples.

<u>Table 4 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Chops</u>

NaCl	TPP		S	Sensory Ch	aracteristic	;	
%	<u>%</u>	Color	<u>Odor</u>	Flavor	<u>Texture</u>	Appearance	
0.00	0.00	7.1	6.1	5.5	6.5	5.9	
0.25	0.30	7.2	6.7	6.5	6.5	6.4	
0.50	0.30	7.4	7.4	7.5	6.5	7.2	•
0.75	0.30	7.1	6.2	6.6	6.5	6.6	
0.50	0.00	6.9	6.5	6.5	6.0	6.6	

12 panelists per test, 9-point scale

• The sample with 0.5% NaCl and 0.3% TPP was preferred.

Table 5 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Rolls

NaCl	TPP	Dose		Sensory Cl	haracteristics		
%	_%_	<u>kGy</u>	Color	Odor	<u>Flavor</u>	<u>Texture</u>	<u>Preference</u>
0.00	0.0	51	6.2	5.1	4.7	5.6	5.7
0.25	0.3	51	6.7	6.2	5.7	6.9	5.9
0.50	0.3	51	6.4	6.2	5.7	6.6	6.2
0.75	0.3	51	6.1	5.9	6.0	6.2	5.9
0.50	0.0	51	6.1	5.0	5.2	6.1	5.2

12 panelists per test, 9-point scale

• The sample with 0.5% NaCl and no TPP rated lower than the others. There was no preference for the % of NaCl.

Table 6 - Effect of NaCl, TPP and Storage Time on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Rolls

				Days Storage											
		Irrad	<u>. 30</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>90</u>			
NaCl	TPP	Dose			Se	nsory	Charact	teristic							
%	%	kGy	_Co	lor_	_Od	or	Fla	avor	Tex	ture	Pre	<u>ference</u>			
0.75	0.3	51	6.0	6.6	5.7	6.4	5.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	5.5	5.9			
0.75	0.0	51	6.0	6.0	5.4	5.7	4.7	5.0	5.4	4.7	5.2	5.4			
0.75	0.3	NA	6.5	7.5	7.0	7.5	7.0	7.4	7.0	7.1	6.8	7.1			
0.75	0.0	NA	6.9	6.6	6.7	6.9	6.6	7.0	7.1	6.7	6.5	6.1			

12 panelists per test, 9-point scale

Irradiation temperature: -30 ± 10 °C

• The unirradiated samples were preferred over the irradiated. The samples with TPP were preferred over the samples without. The scores tended to increase with storage.

Table 7 - Effect of TPP and Pepper on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Rolls

								Test N	umbe	er						
<u>Addi</u>	tion	1	2	3	<u>1</u>	2	<u>3</u>	1	2	<u>3</u>	1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
TPP	Pepper						Sens	ory Ch	aract	ristic						
_%	%	(Color			Odor		<u>F</u>	lavo	r	<u></u>	'extu	re	Pre	fere	ence
0.0	0.0	7.2	6.9	5.8	7.0	7.1	5.7	7.1	6.7	5.2	7.2	6.8	5.6	7.2	6.9	5.4
	Mean		6.3			6.6			6.3			6.5			6.5	
0.3	0.0	6.3	6.7	5.9	6.8	7.0	5.4	6.5	6.8	4.9	6.8	6.7	5.0	6.6	7.1	5.5
	Mean		6.3			6.4			6.1			6.2			6.4	
0.3	0.1	6.9	6.6	5.5	7.1	6.9	5.8	7.0	6.6	5.0	7.0	6.9	5.5	7.1	7.0	5.3
	Mean		6.3			6.6			6.2			6.5			6.5	

12 panelists per individual test, 9-point scale

All samples contain 0.75% NaCl

Irradiation Conditions For All Samples: dose 51 kGy at -30 ±10 °C

1 month storage

· There was no preference for TPP or pepper addition.

Table 8 - Effect of Irradiation Temperature and Dose on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Loins

Irradiation Condition								
Dose_	Temp	. Storage				Irrad.	Off-	
<u>kGy</u>	_o <u>C</u>	days	<u>Discolor</u>	Off-Odor	Mushiness	<u>Flavor</u>	Flavor	<u>Preference</u>
35	0	0	2,6	2.0	3.8	2.0	2.0	5.4
35	0	30	2.3	1.8	2.0	2.6	1.3	5.8
35	0	90	3.2	1.7	1.8	2.8	2.0	5.2
35	-80	0	2.1	1.9	2.1	1.5	2.4	6.0
35	-80	30	2.9	2.3	2.6	3.4	1.1	5.8
35	-80	90	2.3	1.8	1.7	2.3	1.5	5.0
45	0	0 .	2.1	2.6	2.5	3.1	1.9	5.4
45	0	30	2.5	1.9	1.4	3.1	1.4	5.1
45	0	90	2,3	2,2	1,8	2,7	1.8	5.3
45	-80	0	2,3	2,9	2.5	2.6	1.9	5.4
45	-80	30	3.5	2.6	2.8	3.0	1.1	5.8
45	-80	90	2.2	2.7	2.5	2.8	1.8	5.3
NA*	NA*	0	1.0	1.0	1.6	1.0	1.0	7.3
NA*	NA*	30	1.4	1.5	2.4	1.5	1.4	6.9
NA*	NA*	90	1.2	1.3	1.7	1.3	1.3	6.4

⁸ panelists per test

Preference ratings used a 9-point scale.

Sensory Characteristics used a reverse 7-point scale that ranged from 1 -none detected to 7-extreme

• There was a lowering of preference scores between 30 and 90 days of storage. There was no preference for irradiation dose or temperature. All the irradiated samples were rated lower than the irradiated one.

^{*} Frozen Control

Table 9 - Effect of Irradiation Temperature on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Loins

Irrad.			Sens	ory Charact	eristics		
Temp.	Storage	Discolor	- Off-	Mushi-	Irrad.	Off-	•
_o <u>C</u> _	Time, days	ation	Odor	ness	Flavor	<u>Flavor</u>	Preference
0	0	3.9	2.4	2.1	2.6	2.0	4.8
0	30	2.0	2.9	1.5	2.8	1.9	4.9
0	90	3.5	2.8	3.0	3.2	1.8	4.9
-80	0	2.1	1.8	1.1	2.4	2.1	5.5
-80	30	3.0	2.6	1.9	2.1	1.1	6.4
-80	90	2.8	2.3	2.3	3.2	1.7	4.3
-185	0	1.4	1.3	1.0	1.4	1.6	6.9
-185	30	1.9	2.5	2.5	1.6	1.1	6.8
-185	90	2.8	2,8	3,2	2,3	1,7	5.0
NA	0	1,0	1,3	1,0	1,1	1,5	7,1
NA	30	2,0	1,6	2.1	1,5	1,5	6.9
NA	90	2.3	1.5	2.5	1.0	2.2	6.4

⁸ panelists per test

Preference Ratings used a 9-point scale

Sensory Characteristics used a reverse 7-point scale that ranged from 1-none detected to 7-extreme

• There was a decreased preference after 30 days of storage.

There was an increased preference with decreased irradiation temperature.

The lowest irradiation temperature initially rated very close to the sample that was not irradiated.

⁴⁵ to 56 kGy irradiation dose

Table 10 - Consumer Panel Ratings of Radappertized Pork Chops

<u>NaCl</u>	TPP	Pref	erence Rating	
%	%	<u>Irradiated</u>	Non-Irradiated	
0.00	0.00	5.3	5.8	
0.75	0.00	5.9	6.8*	
0.75	0.25	6.4*	6.6*	
0.75	0.50	6.4*	6.5*	

^{*} significantly different from the sample with no NaCl and TPP

All significance at 0.05 level

• All the samples with NaCl or TPP addition were preferred.

The non-irradiated samples were preferred, over the irradiated, although the samples with additives rated only slightly better.

Table 11 - Yield Data of Pork Chops and Rolls

NaCl	TPP	% Cookin	g Loss
%	_%	Pork Chops*	Pork Rolls**
0.00	0.00	34	29
0.75	0.00	. 32	21
0.75	0.25	26	16
0.75	0.50	25	15

Average of 42 lb (19 kg) of pork chops per sample Each chop cut into 0.5 in (1.3 cm) thickness

• The addition of TPP decreased the cooking loss.

^{32 - 35} panelists per test, 9-point scale

^{**} Average of eight samples

Enzyme inactivated in broiler to 71 - 75 °C internal temperature

Table 12 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Organic Volatiles of Pork Chops

<u>NaCl</u> 	TPP	Non-Irradiated pH ORV*			Irradiated Days Storage			
70	_70_	μπ	ORV	p	H		RV	
				0	30	0	30	
0.00	0.0	5.8	0.87	5.5	5.5	1.1	1.1	
0.75	0.0	5.8	1.21	5.7	5.7	0.9	1.3	
0.75	0.3	5.9	0.65	5.9	6.0	1.2	1.3	
0.75	0.5	6.0	1.15	6.3	5.9	1.5	1.3	

^{*} Organic Reducing Volatiles milliequivalents oxygen per 100 g meat Irradiation Conditions: 51 kGy dose at -30 °C

Table 13 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Shear Press Values of Pork Chops

<u>NaCl</u>	TPP	Shear V	alue (N)
%	%	<u>Irradiated</u>	Non-irradiated
0.00	0.00	49.8 b,d	58.0 c,f
0.75	0.00	42.3 b,d	46.0 c,f
0.75	0.25	30.5 e	37.7 g
0.75	0.50	36.9 a,e	36.5 a, g

samples followed by the same letter are not significantly different. 0.05 level

<u>Table 14 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Chops</u>

					Stora	age Tim	e, Day	/S			
		0	<u>30</u>	0	30	0	<u>30</u>	0	<u>30</u>	<u>O</u>	<u>30</u>
NaCl	TPP			Sen	sory C	haracter	istic				
%	%	Co	lor	Oc	lor	_Fla	vor	Text	ure	<u>Prefe</u>	rence
0.00	0.00	7.2	7.4	6.4	7.0	6.4	6.9	5.6	6.3	5.9	6.9
0.75	0.00	7.3	7.4	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.4	6.9	6.4	6.9	7.0
0.75	0.30	7.4	7.0	7.4	6.4	7.2	6.7	7.3*	6.6	7.1*	6.9
0.75	0.50	7.5	7.4	7.3	7.1	7.3	7.3	7.3*	6.5	7.0*	7.2

^{*} significantly different from the sample with no NaCl and TPP 0.05 significance level

[•] The addition of additives increased the pH of the irradiated samples. The ORV changes are not significant.

[•] Irradiation decreased the shear value (the product became softer). The addition of NaCl also descreased the shear value, as did the addition of TPP

¹² panelists per test, 9-point scale

[•] Addition of NaCl increased the scores. Addition of TPP did not.

Table 15 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Rolls

					Stor	age Time	. Days				
		0	<u>30</u>	<u>0</u>	30	0	30	<u>O</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>O</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>NaCl</u>	TPP			Sen	sory Ch	aracterist	ic				
%	<u>%</u>	_Co	lor_	_Od	or	<u>Fla</u>	vor	Tex	<u>ture</u>	Pref	erence
A. no	storag	e									
0.00	0.00	6.2	6.1	6.2	6.2	5.2*	6.2*	5.0	6.0	5.5	5.9
0.75	0.00	6.0	6.3	5.8	5.7	5.8	6.2	6.2	5.8	5.5	5.8
0.75	0.25	5.5	6.0	5.8	6.2	5.5	6.2	5.9	5.6	5.5	6.0
0.75	0.50	5.7	6.1	5.9	6.3	5.4	6.0	5.6	6.4	6.0	6.0
0.00 0.75 0.75	0.00 0.00 0.25	6.2 6.0 5.5	6.3 6.0	5.8 5.8	5.7 6.2	5.8 5.5	6.2 6.2	6.2 5.9	5.8 5.6	5.5 5.5	5.8 6.0

^{10 - 12} panelists per test, 9-point scale

Table 16 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Pork Rolls

	Storage Time, Days											
Additi	on	Irrad.	0	30	0	30	0	30	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>	0	<u>30</u>
NaCl	TPP	Dose			Sensory	Characte	ristics	_				
%	%	kGy	_Col	or	Odo	or	_Fla	vor	Tex	ture	Pref	erence
0.00	0.0	51	5.7	5.9	5.6**	4.8**	5.2	5.5	5.4	5.0**	5.6	5.2**
0.75	0.0	51	5.9	5.7	5.2**	5.4**	5.4	5.6	5.7	5.3**	5.3	5.7**
0.75	0.3	51	4.7	6.3	4.8**	6.1***	4.7	5.9	5.2	6.1	5.2	6.1***
0.75	0.5	51	5.8	6.2	7.0*	6.5***	6.7	6.1	7.1*	5.7	7.4*	6.2**
0.75	0.3	NA	7.0*	6.5	6.7	6.9	7.1*	6.8	7,0*	6.8	7,4*	7.2

^{10 - 12} panelists per test, 9-point scale

• There was an effect of additive addition only after 30 days of storage.

⁵¹ kGy irradiation dose at -30 °C

^{*} significantly different at 0.05 level. No other signficant diffrences.

[•] There was no effect if additive addition.

^{*} significantly different from other samples

^{**} significantly different from the non-irradiated sample

^{***} significantly different from the sample with no NaCl and TPP Significance level - 0.05

Table 17 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Rolls

Storage Time, Days											
<u>Additi</u>	on	0 3	0	Q	30	Q	30	0	<u>30</u>	0	<u>30</u>
NaCl	TPP			Sens	ory Chara	acteris	ics				
%	_%_	Color	:	Odor		Flav	or	Text	ure	Pre	<u>ference</u>
0.00	0.00			~ O	. 7		= (<i>5</i> 2	<i>c</i> 1	5.0	<i>c</i> 1
0.00	0.00	6.0 7	.1	5.9	6.7	5.7	5.0	5.2	0.1	5.9	0.1
0.75	0.00	6.0 6	.9	5.7	7.0	5.9	6.9	6.4*	6.9	6.1	6.9
0.75	0.25	5.7 6	.9	6.0	7.0	6.2	6.7	6.5*	7.7	6.2	6.5
0.75	0.50	5.7 6	.5	5.8	6.7	6.2	7.1*	5.7	6.9	6.0	6.7

^{8 - 12} panelists per test, 9-point scale

• There were differences in storage time for color between the samples with no addition and the one with 0.25% TPP, at the 0.05 level

The addition of additives had no effect on the scores, as did the storage time.

Table 18 -Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Shear Values of Pork Rolls

NaCl	TPP	Shear Values, N							
_%	%	Irradiated	Non-Irradiated						
0.00	0.00	61.3 c	65.1 c						
0.75	0.00	43.4	65.4 c						
0.75	0.25	32.6 a,b	46.5 d,e						
0,75	0.50	32,1 a,b	47.6 d,e						

Samples followed by the same letter are not significantly diffrent, 0.05 level

• Irradiation gave decreased shear values. The addition of either salt or TPP also decresed the shear values.

Table 19 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Organic Volatiles of Pork Rolls

NaCl %	<u>TPP</u> <u>%</u>	Non-	Irradiated ORV*	<u>Irradiated.</u> pH	no storage ORV*	<u>Irradiated,</u> pH	30 days storage ORV*	
0.00		6.2	1.1	6.4	1.2	6.1	1.9	
0.75	0.0	5.9	1.1	6.0	1.1	5.9	1.2	
0.75	0.3	6.1	1.1	6.3	1.2	6.2	1.2	
0.75	0.5	6.4	0.9	6.7	1.23	6.4	1.8	

Irradiation dose, 5.1 kGy at -30 °C

^{*} significantly different from the sample with no NaCl and TPP

^{*} Organic reducing volatiles, milliequivalents oxygen per 100 g meat

[•] There was no effect of additives on pH or ORV

Table 20 - Effect of NaCl on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Rolls

<u>NaCl</u>		Sensory Cha										
%	Off-Odor	Salty Flavor	Off Flavor	Mushiness	Friability	Pres	<u>ference</u>					
	Test Number											
	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3	<u>1</u> . <u>2</u> <u>3</u>	1	<u>2</u> <u>3</u>					
0.0 Average	1.8 2.2 1.3 1.8	1.0 1.5 1.3 1.3	1.5 2.0 2.2 1.9	1.5 2.0 1.0 1.5	1.1 2.0 1.5 1.5	5.6	6.6 5.8 6.0					
1.0 Average	1.8 2.1 1.3 1.7	1.1 1.1 1.8 1.3	1.8 2.1 2.3 2.1	1.1 2.1 1.0 1.4	1.0 2.0 1.5 1.5	6.3	6.8 6.0 6.4					
1.5 Average	1.5 1.8 1.5 1.6	1.3 1.0 1.5 1.3	2.3 1.8 2.0 2.0	2.2 2.0 1.0 1.7	2.0 1.8 1.8 1.9	5.3	6.8 6.2 6.1					

Results of 3 tests in a 1 month storage period

7 to 8 panelists per test

9-point scale for preference, 7-point reverse scale for sensory characteristics

There was no effect of NaCl addition on the sensory characteristics.

Table 21- Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Rolls

NaCl	TPP		Sens				
%	%	Off-Odor	Salty Flavor	Off Flavor	<u>Mushiness</u>	Friability	<u>Preference</u>
0.5	0.5	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.7	6.1
1.0	0.5	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.6	1.4	6.7*
1.5	0.5	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.4	5.9
0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.6	5.9

^{*} significantly different from the 0 and 1.5% NaCl samples

9-point scale for preference, 7-point reverse scale for sensory characteristics. The preferred sample was the one with 1% NaCl and 0.5% TPP addition.

¹⁸ panelists

Table 22 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Rolls

Sensory Characteristics											
Dose	NaCl	TPP	Dis-	Off-	Irrad.	Off-	Mushi-	Fria-			
kGy	<u>%</u>	<u>%</u>	Color	<u>Odor</u>	<u>Flavor</u>	<u>Flavor</u>	ness	<u>bility</u>	<u>Preference</u>		
NA	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.5	1.0 ***	1.5	1.1 ***	1.2 ***	6.5		
51	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.0 *	2.5	1.8	1.8	2.9	5.2 *		
51	0.5	0.5	2.6 *	2.0	1.4 **	1.4	2.4	2.6	5.5 *		
51	1.0	0.5	2.7 *	2.0	1.5 **	1.4	2.1	2.4	5.8 ***		
51	1.5	0.5	2.3	1.8	2.2	1.3	2.4	2.5	6.0		

- significantly different from the control samples
- significantly different from 2 and 5
- *** significantly different from all other samples **** significantly different from2
- 23 panelists, 9-point scale for preference,
- 7 -point reverse scale for sensory characteristics

Irradiation Conditions: 51 kGy at -30°C

The preferred irradiated sample was the one with 1% NaCl and 0.5% TPP

Table 23 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Non-Irradiated Pork Rolls

	Sensory Characteristics												
NaCl TPP	Off-	Salty	Off	Mushi-	Fria-								
% %	Odor	Flavor_	Flavor ness bility		<u>bility</u>	lity Prefere							
	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3	<u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>3</u>	1 2 3	1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>					
0.5 0.5 average		1.6 2.0 1.3 1.6	1.9 1.5 1.3 1.6	3.1 1.6 1.3 2.0	3.0 1.5 1.3 1.9	6.6	6.1 6.5	6.9					
1.0 0.5 average		1.3 1.3 1.6 1.4	1.9 2.0 1.3 1.7	3.1 2.3 1.1 2.2	2.3 2.3 1.1 1.9	6 .5	6.6 6.8	7.3					
1.5 0.5 average?	2.0 1.1 1.1 * 1.4	1.9 1.8 1.6 1.8	1.3 1.5 1.3 1.4	2.2 2.6 1.1 2.0	2.0 3.0 1.3 2.1	6.9	7.5 7.1	7.0					
0.0 0.0 average*	2.2 1.1 1.0 * 1.4	1.3 1.6 1.3 1.4	1.9 1.3 1.5 1.6	3.1 1.3 1.5 2.0	2.4 1.3 1.2 1.6	6.5	7.3 6.9	6.8					

⁷ or 8 panelists per test, 9-point scale for preference

⁷⁻point reverse scale for sensory characteristics

^{*}Mean of 3 tests in a 1 month storage period

There was no preference for NaCl or TPP addition

Table 24 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Consumer Evaluation of Non-Irradiated
Pork Rolls

<u>NaCl</u>	TPP	Prefer	rence	Scores
_%	_%_	Months of		Storage
		<u>0</u>	1	<u>3</u>
0.00	0.0	6.2	5.7	5.2
0.50	0.5	7.0	7.2*	6.5
0.75	0.5	6.5	6.5	7.1*
1.00	0.5	7.2*	6.9	7.1*
1.50	0.5	6.7	6.7*	6.6

35 panelists per test, 9 - point scale

^{*} signficantly preferred to the sample with no NaCl or TPP

[•] Except for the sample with no additives, the preference scores held up well with storage. All the samples with additives were preferred over the one without additives.

Table 25 - Effect of NaCl and TPP and Storage Time on the Sensory Characteristics of Pork Rolls

		Storage											
<u>NaCl</u>	TPP	Time	Discolor-	Off_	Irrad.	Off	Mushi-	Fria-	Pref-				
	<u>%</u>	months	ation	<u>Odor</u>	<u>Flavor</u>	Flavor	ness	<u>bility</u>	erence				
0.00	0.0	0	1.9	2.1	2.3	1.8	1.8	1.6	6.0				
0.00	0.0	1	1.9	1.9	2.4	1.6	2,1	1.6	6.3				
0.00	0.0	3	2.1	2.1	2.7	1.7	3.0	2.1	4.6				
0.00	0.0	6	2.1	1.6	1.3	2.4	2.4	2.9	5.3				
0.50	0.5	0	2.3	2.1	2.4	1.4	1.5	1.5	5.8				
0.50	0.5	1	2.4	2.6	2.4	1.6	2.3	2.6	5.9				
0.50	0.5	3	1.3	2.6	2.3	1.6	2.6	1.6	5.6				
0.50	0.5	6	2.3	2.0	1.6	1.4	2.6	2.4	6.1				
0.75	0.5	0	2.0	2.0	2.4	1.5	2.5	2.3	6.0				
0.75	0.5	1	2.5	1.6	2.1	1.3	2.3	1.6	6.5				
0.75	0.5	3	2.4	2.2	2.2	1.9	3.2	2.0	4.9				
0.75	0.5	6	3.1	2.3	1.7	1.4	2.3	2.5	5.1				
1.00	0.5	0	1.9	1.9	2.1	1.1	1.4	1.4	6.1				
1.00	0.5	1	1.9	1.9	2.5	1.5	2.6	2.6	5.8				
1.00	0.5	3	2.9	2.0	1,9	1.6	2.0	1.7	5.3				
1.00	0.5	6	4.4	2.1	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.7	5.6				
Nonirr	adiate	d Contro	ol										
0.00	0.0	0 *											
0.00	0.0	1 *											
0.00	0.0	3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	6.9				
0.00	0.0	6	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.7	1.3	1.3	6.7				

^{*} Evaluations lost

• There was a decrease in ratings between 3 and 6 months of storage.

All the irradiated samples rated worse then the non-irradiated one.

There was no effect of additive addition.

^{7 - 8} panelists per test, 9-point preference scale, 7-pont reverse scale for sensory characteristics Irradiation Conditions: 51 - 66 kGy at -30 °C

Table 26 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Pork Chops

NaCl <u></u>	<u>TPP</u>	Irradiation Dose kGy	Score after D	Days of Storage30_
<u>A.</u> P	referen	ice		
0.00 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75	0.00 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.25	51 51 51 51 NA	5.1 5.6** 6.3* 6.3* 7.1*	5.8 6.2 6.1** 6.5 7.3*
B. Te	xture			
0.00 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75	0.00 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.25	51 51 51 51 NA	5.4* 6.4 5.8 6.1 7.1	5.5** 6.3 6.5 6.5 7.3
C. Fla	avor	•		
0.00 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75	0.00 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.25	51 51 51 51 NA	4.8 5.4 5.4 5.5 6.8***	5.7** 6.1 5.8** 6.7 7.6
D. Od	or			
0.00 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75	0.00 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.25	51 51 51 51 NA	4.9 5.9 6.4* 6.4* 6.9*	7.1**** 6.6 7.0 6.9 7.7
E. Co	olor			
0.00 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75	0.00 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.25	51 51 51 51 NA	5.7** 6.1 5.6** 6.6 6.9	6.7 6.7 6.9**** 7.3 7.5

10 to 12 panelists per test, 9-point scale

significance at 0.05 level

All the irradiated samples rated worse than the non-irradiated. There was no general additive effect. There was a general increase in scores with increased storage.

significantly different from the sample with no additives

^{**} significantly different from the non-irradiated control

*** significantly different from the irradiated samples

*** significance found between storage times

Table 27 - Effect of NaCl and TPP on the Sensory Characteristics of Radappertized Pork Chops

<u>NaCl</u> <u>TPP</u> <u>%</u>	Score after Days 0	of Storage 30	
A. Preference			
0.00 0.00	5.0	6.4**	
0.75 0.00	5.1	6.2**	
0.75 025	6.5*	6.8	
0.75 0.50	6.2*	6.7	
B. Texture			
0.00 0.00	6.0	6.5	
0.75 0.00	6.1	6.0	
0.75 0.25	6.6	7.3	
0.75 0.50	6.2	6.9	
C. Flavor			
0.00 0.00	4.4	6.2**	
0.75 0.00	4.5	6.4**	
0.75 0.25	6.0*	6.8	
0.75 0.50	5.7*	6.8	
D. Odor			
0.00 0.00	5.2	6.4**	
0.75 0.00	5.3	7.2**	
0.75 0.25	6.9*	7.2***	
0.75 0.50	6.0	7.2***	
E. Color			
0.00 0.00	6.1	7.4**	
0.75 0.00	6.3	6.6	
0.75 0.25	6.5	7.5**	
0.75 0.50	6.2	7.2**	

^{10 - 12} panelists per test, 9-point scale

^{*} significantly different from the samples with no additives and 0.75% NaCl

^{**} significance found between 0 and 30 days of storage

^{***} significantly different from the sample with no additives significance at 0.05 level

[•] The addition of TPP increased the scores. The scores increased with storage time.

Table 28 - Effect of NaCl, TPP and Storage Time on the Sensory Characteristics of Pork Products

NaCl	TPP		Sensory Characteristics												
%	%		Col	<u>or</u>	Odor Flavor		Texture Appear-		<u> Prefer-</u>						
						_					anc	<u>e</u>	enc	<u>ence</u>	
<u>NaCl</u>	<u>TPP</u>	<u>Dose</u>				Sto	orage	Time	Days	<u> </u>					
%	<u></u> %	<u>kGy</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>	0	<u>30</u>	0	<u>30</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>	
A. Ro	<u>olls</u>														
0.00	0.00	51	5.7	5.6	5.6	3.8	5.2	5.5	5.4	5.0	5.7	5.3	5.7	5.2	
0.75	0.00	51	6.9	5.7	5.2	5.4	5.4	5.6	5.7	5.3	5.6	5.9	5.3	5.7	
0.75	0.25	51	4.8	6.3	4.8	6.1	4.7	5.9	5.8	6.3	5.0	6.1	5.2	6.1	
0.75	0.50	51	5.6	6.2	5.5	6.5	5.5	6.1	5.6	5.7	5.8	6.4	5.7	6.2	
0.75	0.25	NA	7.0	6.5	6.7	6.9	7.2	6.8	7.0	6.8	7.1	6.6	7.4	7.2	
B. Ch	<u>10ps</u>														
0.00	0.00	51	5.7	6.9	4.9	7.1	4.8	5.7	5.4	5.5	6.3	6.6	5.1	5.8	
0.75	0.00	51	5.8	6.8	5.9	6.6	5.4	6.1	6.4	6.3	6.4	6.8	5.6	6.2	
0.75	0.25	51	5.6		6.4	7.0	6.5	5.8	6.3	6.5	6.1	7.0	6.2	6.1	
0.75	0.50	51	6.6	7.3	6.4	6.9	5.5	6.7	6.1	6.5	7.1	7.5	6.2	6.3	
0.75	0.25	NA	6.9	7.5	6.9	7.5	6.9	7.6	7.1	7.3	7.2	7.7	7.1	7.2	

10 to 12 panelists per test, 9-point scale

Irradiation Conditions: 51 kGy at -25 ±20 °C

• There was no preference for the type of pork.

The storage time had no general effect.

The non-irradiated sample was preferred to all the irradiated samples.

There was no general effect of the additive addition.

Table 29 - Effect of NaCl, TPP and Storage Time on the Sensory Characteristics of Pork Products

				Sensory Characteristics										
			Col	or	Ode	or	Fla	vor	Tex	ture	Ap	<u>pear-</u>	Pre	<u>f-</u>
		Irrad.				•			_		an	<u>c e</u>	ere	nce
<u>NaCl</u>	TPP	Dose	_				_	Time.					_	• •
%	_%	<u>kGy</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>	Q	<u>30</u>	Q	<u>30</u>	0	<u>30</u>	0	<u>30</u>
A. Ch	ops													
0.00	0.00	51	6.1	7.3	5.2	6.5	4.4	6.2	6.0	6.3	5.7	7.2	5.0	6.4
0.75	0.00	51	6.3	6.6		7.2	4.5	6.4	6.1	6.0	6.6	6.7	5.2	6.2
0.75	0.25	51	6.5	7.5	6.5	7.5	6.0	6.8	6.6	7.1	6.6	7.1	6.5	6.8
0.75	0.50	51	6.2	7.2	6.0	7.3	5.7	7.3	6.2	6.8	6.4	6.9	6.2	6.7
0.00	0.00	NA	7.2	7.4	6.4	7.0	5.7	6.9	5.6	6.3	7.1	7.1	5.9	6.9
0.75	0.00	NA	7.3	7.4	7.0	6.4	7.0	6.7	6.9	6.6	7.3	6.7	6.9	6.9
0.75	0.25	NA	7.4	7.0	7.4	6.4	7.2	6.7	7.3	6.6	7.3	6.7	7.1	6.9
0.75	0.50	NA	7.5	7.3	7.3	7.1	7.2	7.4	7.0	6.8	7.2	7.0	6.9	7.2
D Da	11.	•												
<u>B.</u> Ro	<u>IIS</u>													
0.00	0.00	51	6.2	6.1	6.2	6.2	5.2	6.2	5.2	6.0	5.9	6.4	5.6	5.9
0.75	0.00	51	6.0	6.3	6.1	5.7	5.7	6.2	6.2	5.8	6.2	6.2	5.5	
0.75	0.25	51	5.5	6.0	5.7	6.2	5.4	6.2	6.0	5.6	5.7	6.5	5.4	6.0
0.75	0.50	51	5.7	6.1	5.7	6.3	5.0	6.0	6.6	6.4	5.7	6.4	5.6	6.0
0.00	0.00	NA	6.0	7.1	5.9	6.7	5.7	7.0	5.2	6.9	5.7	7.0	5.9	6.8
0.75	0.00	NA	6.0	6.9	5.7	7.0	6.0	6.9	6.4	6.9	5.7	7.0	6.1	6.8
0.75	0.25	NA	5.7	6.9	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.7	6.5	6.7	5.5	6.7	6.0	6.5
0.75	0.50	NA	5.7	6.5	5.8	6.7	6.2	7.1	5.7	6.9	5.8	6.7	6.0	6.7

10 to 12 panelists per test, 9-point scale Irradiation Conditions - 5.1 kGy at -25 \pm 20 °C

This document reports research undertaken at the U.S. Army Soldier and Biological Chemical Command, Soldier Systems Center, and has been assigned No. NATICK/TR-99/009 in a series of reports approved for publication.

[•] There was no preference for the type of pork.

The scores generally increased with storage time.

The non-irradiated samples had better scores than the irradiated samples.

There was no effect of additive addition.